To: Curtin, James[curtin.james@epa.gov]; Keating, Jim[Keating.Jim@epa.gov]; Reichert,

Julie[reichert.julie@epa.gov] **From:** Furtak, Sarah

Sent: Tue 11/12/2013 10:08:57 PM

Subject: FYI: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion nov 12 2013 ecology meeting prep.docx

From: Furtak, Sarah

Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 5:08 PM

To: Croxton, Dave; Rueda, Helen

Subject: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion

DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE*

As follow-up to our discussion on November 6, attached please find the "messaging for meeting with Washington Department of Ecology" revised to reflect discussion we had on that day. We understand these would be EPA messaging points or talking points, but not for distribution to Ecology.

Below is more context for the messaging paper attached:

• Item IV A ends with an optional sentence to add some detail if we wish. We reflect

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

- Item IV B ends with an optional sentence to emphasize the option of adjusting what is said about implementation over the possibility of re-doing the allocation itself.
- For item V: Interested in the Region's thoughts on when and how best to broach these topics with the state.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

 Also, let's discuss (e.g., Nov. 20) whether the next steps are for our own use or part of the initial messaging for the state.

If you would like to discuss any of the above or the attached, feel free to contact me.

Best, Sarah

Sarah Furtak

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds Assessment and Watershed Protection Division Watershed Branch EB